

Ray tracing





Context

Rendering: **3D Model** → **Image**

Ex. 3D Sphere:

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \xrightarrow{?}$$

Model *Image*

Context

Rendering: **3D Model** → **Image**

Ex. 3D Models

Image

$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \xrightarrow{?}$

Multiples solutions
(+/-)

- Projective rendering
- Reye
- Ray tracing

Overview

1/ General algorithm for ray tracing

2/ Simple scene application
=> *See objects*

3/ Shading
=> *3D aspect*

4/ Physical model
=> *Toward photorealism*

1/ General algorithm for ray tracing

3D Scene



3D Scene



3D Scene



Question:

What color should we give to each pixel?

In the real world



008

In the real world



009

In the real world



010

In the real world



011

In the real world



First algorithm

```
For all light sources
   For all direction d1
      Throw_ray(d1)

Throw_ray(d)
|  If d intersect any object
|     Save Color
|     For all direction d2
|         Throw_ray(d2)
|
|  If d intersect screnn
|     Set current Color to pixel
```


Our 1st model



+ Simple
+ Physically accurate

- Algorithmic complexity

Inifinite number of reccursions

ex.

$\theta = \mathrm{atan}\left(\frac{D}{2L}\right)$



$\phi = \mathrm{atan}\left(\frac{e}{2d}\right)$

$p = \frac{2\pi(1-\cos(\theta))}{4\pi} \times \frac{2\pi(1-\cos(\phi))}{4\pi}$

p touch object=0.0015%

p touch pixel=0.0000000007%

send 130 000 000 000 rays

Accelerating the rendering

Problem : lot of useless rays



Accelerating the rendering

Fermat principle:
Same light path in both directions





Accelerating the rendering

Fermat principle:
Same light path in both directions





Improvement:
- Finite number of rays
- All usefull

Ray tracing algorithm



Ray tracing algorithm



1/ Fermat principle
+ Physically OK

2/ No secondary diffusion
- Loss of physics

quantification:
~2 000 000 rays

0.1 ms/rays :
3 min/pic
VS 150 days/pic

Ray tracing algorithm



Ray-tracing summary



Formalization

For all lines $\mathcal{D}$
Compute $D \cap O$



Formalization

For all lines $\mathcal{D}$
Compute $D \cap O$



Formalization

1: We search

$$\begin{cases} F(x,y,z)=0 \\ x(t) = x_0 + t\mathbf{u} \end{cases}$$

2: Solve for t

Deduce:
x(t) et **n**(t)

Keep only t>0

Shading
(local properties)



2/ Application for simple 3D scenes

Plane



System to solve:

$$\begin{cases} x(t) = x_0 + t\mathbf{u} \\ < x(t) - x_p, \mathbf{n}_p >= 0 \end{cases}$$

2 cases

- Coplanar → 0 intersection
- Intersection
  - t>0 → 1 intersection
  - t<0 → 0 intersection

$$x \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow < x - x_p, \mathbf{n}_p >= 0$$

Plane



```
intersection_data intersect(v3 xp,v3 np,v3 xs,v3 u)
{
    double epsilon=1e-8;

    //<u,np>
    double proj=u.dot(np);

    //parrallel ray
    if(std::fabs(proj)<epsilon)
        return inter;//no intersection

    //t-intersection
    double t=(xp-xs).dot(n)/proj;

    inter.push_back(intersection_data( seg(t), n ,t ));

    return inter;
}
```



Sphere



$$x \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \|x - x_s\| = r$$

Sphere



$$\begin{cases} x(t) = x_0 + t\mathbf{u} \\ \|x(t) - x_s\| = r \end{cases}$$

Case 1: Case 2: Case 3:



Sphere



Sphere

Real sphere model: no discretization



Sphere

Note: Using the wrong intersection





Triangle



Same as plane

$$t = \frac{<A - x_0, \mathbf{n}_t>}{<\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}_t>}$$

+ check using barycentric coordinates

$$x = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$

$$x \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1 \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Triangle: barycentric coordinates



$$x = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1 \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} A = \text{area}(\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_C - \mathbf{x}_A) \\ A_1 = \text{area}(\mathbf{x}_C - \mathbf{x}_B, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_B) \\ A_2 = \text{area}(\mathbf{x}_A - \mathbf{x}_C, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_C) \\ A_3 = \text{area}(\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_A) \end{cases}$$

with area$(\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1) = 1/2 \|\mathbf{v}_0 \times \mathbf{v}_1\|$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha = A_1/A \\ \beta = A_2/A \\ \gamma = A_3/A \end{cases}$$



Triangle: barycentric coordinates



$$t = \frac{<A - x_0, \mathbf{n}_t>}{<\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{n}_t>}$$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1 \\ 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \\ 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

```
v3 x10=internal_x1-internal_x0;
v3 x20=internal_x2-internal_x0;

v3 u10=x10.normalized();
v3 u20=x20.normalized();

v3 n=u10.cross(u20).normalized();

const v3& u=seg.u();
double proj=u.dot(n);

double epsilon=1e-8;
if(std::fabs(proj)<epsilon)
    return inter;

double t=(internal_x0-seg.x0()).dot(n)/proj;
v3 xi=seg(t);

double area_0=(internal_x2-internal_x1).cross(xi-internal_x1).norm()/2.0;
double area_1=(internal_x0-internal_x2).cross(xi-internal_x2).norm()/2.0;
double area_2=(internal_x1-internal_x0).cross(xi-internal_x0).norm()/2.0;
double area  =x10.cross(x20).norm()/2.0;

double a=area_0/area;
double b=area_1/area;
double c=area_2/area;


if(a>=0 && b>=0 && c>=0 && a<=1 && b<=1 && c<=1)
    if(std::fabs(a+b+c-1.0)<epsilon)
        inter.push_back(intersection_data(xi,n,t));

return inter;
```


Triangle





Triangle => Mesh rendering



Ellipsoid

$$(x - x_c)^T \mathrm{D}(x - x_c) = 1$$

$$\mathrm{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$



Ellipsoid

$$(x - x_c)^T \mathrm{D}(x - x_c) = 1$$

$$\mathrm{D} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$$



$$(\mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u})\, t^2 + (\mathbf{y}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{y})\, t + \mathbf{y}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{y} - 1 = 0$$
$$\mathbf{y} = x_0 - x_c$$

$$\Delta = (\mathbf{y}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{y})^2 - 4(\mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u})(\mathbf{y}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{y} - 1)$$

$$t_{1/2} = -\frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{y} \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2\, \mathbf{u}^T \mathrm{D}\mathbf{u}}$$

Implicit surfaces

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x, y, z) = 0\}$$

We generaly don't know the analytical solution of $S \cap \mathcal{D}$

We look for an approximation

Implicit surfaces

$$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$$



Implicit surfaces

$$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$$



Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$



Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$





1st Solution:

$$x^{i+1} = x^i + \underbrace{\Delta L}_{?}\mathbf{u}$$

Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$





1st Solution:

$$x^{i+1} = x^i + \underbrace{\Delta L}\mathbf{u}$$

0.2 0.001





Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$





F: differentiable

2nd Solution:

$$x^{i+1} = x^i + \frac{|F(x^i)|}{\|\nabla F\|_{\max}}\mathbf{u}$$

Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$





2nd Solution:

$$x^{i+1} = x^i + \frac{|F(x^i)|}{\|\nabla F\|_{\max}}\mathbf{u}$$

1 estimated 4





Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$



Acceleration of the convergence:



1: Binary search

2: Newton
at the end

$$\boxed{x^{i+1} = x^i - \frac{F(x^i)}{<\nabla F(x^i),\mathbf{u}>}}$$

quadratic convergence

Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$

The gradient is important:

$\frac{F(x+h)-F(x)}{h}$ ∇F analytical





Implicit surfaces

$S = \{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | F(x,y,z) = 0\}$

Medical data







Fluid simulations



Modeling

Visual effects

Shadows

Obvious in ray-tracing





Shadows



Soft shadows



Soft shadows





Reflections



Reflections



Reflections



Several level of reflections:



Reflections

Level of details



Refraction



[PovRay]

$$n_1 \sin(\theta_1) = n_2 \sin(\theta_2)$$



Caustics

Prefered path of the refracted/reflected rays

[http://abcmathsblog.blogspot.fr/]



Caustics

[CG Arena]

[deviantart]

Need a more advanced model of ray tracing

Caustics



[CG Arena]

[deviantart]

Use of a photon map

Etape 1:
Throwing *photons*

Etape 2:
Throwing rays



Caustics



Photon map

Caustics

[Jensen, EGWR96]

Physically based rendering

BRDF

Bidirectional
Reflectance
Distribution
Function



$\cancel{P_b = \cos(\alpha)\,P_a}$



$$P_b = RBF(\theta_i, \theta_o, x)\cos(\theta_i)\,P_a$$

If depends of f => irridescence



[Wikipedia]

BRDF

Bidirectional **R**eflectance **D**istribution **F**unction



Perfectly diffuse

Perfectly specular



Rendering equation

$$P(x,\theta_o) = P_e(x,\theta_o) + \int_{\theta_i \in \Omega} f(x,\theta_i,\theta_o)\,P_a(x,\theta_i)\cos(\theta_i)\,\mathrm{d}\theta_i$$

Problem: Pa depends on P

Integral equation: infinitely reccursive



Rendering equation

$$P(x,\theta_o) = P_e(x,\theta_o) + \int_{\theta_i \in \Omega} f(x,\theta_i,\theta_o)\, P_a(x,\theta_i)\cos(\theta_i)\,\mathrm{d}\theta_i$$



2 Approches:

1- Finite element discretization

Radiosity

2- Monte-Carlo

Path Tracing
Metropolis Light Transport (MTL)

Path tracing

We randomly sample θ_i



Path tracing

Modeling secondary light sources:

Direct illumination

Path tracing