

Numerical solution of ODE

General formulation

2/35

Consider relation given a system of **first order** differential equation

Mechanical systems are often expressed as

- *single equation of second order in p*
- *system of first order in $u = (p, v)$*

In general, we can write

$$u'(t) = \mathcal{F}(u(t), t)$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an affine function in u

$$u'(t) = A(t) u(t) + b(t)$$

When A is constant through time

$$u'(t) = A u(t) + b(t)$$

Example: Free fall under gravity


- Force $F(t) = m g$
- Second order differential equation: $p''(t) = g$

- First order system $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}'}_{u'(t)}(t) = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ g \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}(u,t)}$

- Linear system $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}'}_{u'(t)}(t) = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{u(t)}(t) + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix}}_{b(t)}$

- Exact solution known: $p(t) = \frac{1}{2}g t^2 + v_0 t + p_0$


Note: variables are vectors - matrix can be expressed by block, or per components.



Example: 1D spring (/Harmonic oscillator)

4/35

- Force $F(t) = -k (p(t) - l^0)$, k spring stiffness, l^0 rest length
- Second order differential equation: $m p''(t) + k p(t) = k l^0$



- First order system $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{u'(t)}'(t) = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ -k/m (p(t) - l^0) \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}(u,t)}$

- Linear system $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{u'(t)}'(t) = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k/m & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{u(t)}(t) + \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k/m l^0 \end{pmatrix}}_b$

- Exact solution known: $p(t) = A \sin(\omega t + \varphi) + l^0$

$$\omega = \sqrt{k/m}, A^2 = (p^0 - l^0)^2 + \left(\frac{v_0}{\omega}\right)^2, \tan(\varphi) = \frac{p^0 - l^0}{v^0/\omega}$$


Example: 3D mass spring

5/35

- Force $F(t) = m g - k (\|p(t)\| - l^0) \frac{p(t)}{\|p(t)\|}$, k spring stiffness, l^0 rest length
- Second order differential equation: $m p''(t) = m g - k (\|p(t)\| - l^0) \frac{p(t)}{\|p(t)\|}$

- First order system $\underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}}_{u'(t)}'(t) = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} v(t) \\ g - k/m (\|p(t)\| - l^0) \frac{p(t)}{\|p(t)\|} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathcal{F}(u,t)}$

- Not linear
- No simple explicit solution



Existence of solution

6/35

Solving the physical system = Solving the **Initial Value Problem** (IVP)

- Find the solution of $u'(t) = \mathcal{F}(u, t), t \geq 0$
- With initial condition $u(t = 0) = u_0$


Cauchy-Lipschitz (/Picard-Lindelof) theorem states that

If $\mathcal{F}$ is Lipschitz with respect to u and continuous with respect to t

Then there exists a unique solution $u(t)$.

The solution is called the **integral curve** of the IVP.

- $\mathcal{F}$ can be seen as a vector field
(Vector field in 6D for $p(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3, v(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$)
- Solution is a path along this vector field passing by u_0



Solving the ODE exactly

7/35

Equation is linear

Homogeneous, constant coefficients

$$u'(t) = A u(t), u(0) = u_0 \\ \Rightarrow u(t) = u_0 \exp(A t)$$

Non-Homogeneous, constant coefficients

$$u'(t) = A u(t) + b(t), u(0) = u_0 \\ \Rightarrow u(t) = u_0 \exp(A t) + \exp(A t) \int_{t'=0}^t b(t') \exp(-A t') dt'$$

Homogeneous, variable coefficients

$$u'(t) = A(t) u(t), u(0) = u_0 \\ \Rightarrow \text{No closed-form solution in the general case}$$

- Rem. Unfortunately, in general, $u(t)$ is **not** $u_0 \exp\left(\int_0^t A(t') dt'\right)$

Equation is non linear

No general method

$\Rightarrow$ Numerical approaches are required most of the time

Numerical solution

8/35

1st order Explicit Euler

Naive numerical scheme: Approximation of the derivative

$$\frac{u^{k+1} - u^k}{h} = \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

In the linear case

$$u^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} + h \mathbf{A}) u^k + h b^k$$

Pro : very easy to implement

Is u^k a good approximation of the true solution $\tilde{u}(t^k)$?

For a single particle with p, v variables:

$$\begin{cases} v^{k+1} = v^k + h F(u^k, t^k)/m \\ p^{k+1} = p^k + h v^k \end{cases}$$


Explicit Euler - study case

9/35

Free fall under gravity

- True solution $\tilde{u}(k h) = p_0 + (k h)v_0 + \frac{(k h)^2}{2} g$
- Numerical scheme: $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h g \end{pmatrix}$
- Numerical solution: $p^k = p_0 + k h v_0 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} h^2 g$

$\Rightarrow$ **Not exact** : Error $e^k = |u^k - \tilde{u}(k h)| = \frac{g}{2} k h^2$



red: true solution

blue: numerical solution


Explicit Euler - study case

10/35

1D spring

- True solution: permanent oscillation

- Numerical scheme:
$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ -K/m h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K/m h l^0 \end{pmatrix}$$



red: true solution

red: numerical solution

- Numerical solution diverge to ∞
- Worse than bad accuracy for Graphics

Explicit Euler - study case

11/35

1D spring: Analysis of the system energy

- Energy $E = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 + \frac{K}{2}(p - l^0)^2$

$$E^{k+1} = \frac{1}{2}m \left(-\frac{K}{m}\Delta t (p^k - l^0) + v^k \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}K (p^k + \Delta t v^k - l^0)^2$$

$$E^{k+1} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}m (v^k)^2 + \frac{1}{2}K (p^k - l^0)^2}_{E^k} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\underbrace{\frac{K^2}{m} (\Delta t)^2 (p^k - l^0)^2}_{>0} - \underbrace{2K\Delta t (p^k - l^0) v^k + 2K\Delta t (p^k - l^0) v^k}_{=0} + \underbrace{K(\Delta t)^2 (v^k)^2}_{>0} \right]$$

$$E^{k+1} = E^k + \epsilon (\Delta t)^2, \epsilon > 0$$

$\Rightarrow$ gain of energy

$\Rightarrow$ divergence

Accuracy of a numerical method - general definition^{12/35}

- Define the **local truncation error** τ :

Error accumulated during one step, assuming perfect knowledge of the true solution

$$\tau_k = \|\tilde{u}(t^k) - u^k\|, \text{ assuming } u^{k-1} = \tilde{u}(t^{k-1})$$

- A numerical scheme is said to be accurate of order k , if its local truncation error is in $\mathcal{O}(h^{k+1})$.


Explicit Euler is of order 1, at every step we add an error in $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$.

Stability of a numerical method - general definition ^{13/35}

- Classical stability of a method studied on $u'(t) = \lambda u(t)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
 - The true solution $\tilde{u}(t) = \exp(\lambda t)$ converge if $\Re_e(\lambda) \leq 0$.
 - For linear system, λ refers to eigenvalues of A .
 - For non linear system, λ refers to eigenvalues of the Jacobian of $\mathcal{F}$
- A numerical method is *unconditionnaly stable* if $\Re_e(\lambda) \leq 0 \Rightarrow$ stable discrete solution.
- Otherwise, it is conditionnally stable/unstable.
- Region of stability:
Set of conditions on λ such that the discrete solution doesn't diverge.

Rem.

- A numerical solution can diverge even when the true ODE solution converge when using unstable numerical method.
- Converseley, a numerical solution can converge even when the true ODE solution diverge when using stable numerical method.
- Stability ! = Accuracy.



Stability analysis of explicit Euler

14/35

$$u'(t) = \lambda u(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{k+1} = u^k + \lambda u^k \text{ using explicit Euler}$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{k+1} = (1 + \lambda h) u^k$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Stable if } |1 + \lambda h| \leq 1 \text{ (conditionnal stability)}$$


$$|1/h + \lambda| \leq 1/h: \text{Interior of a disc centered on } (-1/h, 0) \text{ with radius } 1/h$$

Rem. For 1D elastic spring

$$\lambda = \pm i \sqrt{K/m}$$

$$\Rightarrow |1 + i \sqrt{K/m} h| = \sqrt{1 + K/m h^2} > 1$$

$\Rightarrow$ Explicit euler is always unstable on the elastic spring problem.



Other approach: Implicit method

Other approach: Implicit Euler

16/35

Explicit Euler

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

u^k is known to compute u^{k+1}

- In the linear case

$$u^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} + h \mathbf{A}) u^k + h b(t^k)$$

Implicit Euler

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^{k+1}, t^{k+1})$$

u^{k+1} appears in RHS

$$\Rightarrow \text{Need to solve } u^{k+1} - h \mathcal{F}(u^{k+1}, t^{k+1}) = u^k$$

- In the linear case : solve a linear system

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + h (\mathbf{A} u^{k+1} + b(t^{k+1}))$$

$$\Rightarrow u^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} - h \mathbf{A})^{-1} (u^k + h b(t^{k+1}))$$

Implicit Euler - study case

17/35

Free fall under gravity

- True solution $\tilde{u}(k \Delta t) = p_0 + (k \Delta t)v_0 + \frac{(k \Delta t)^2}{2} g$


- Numerical scheme: $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} \right)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} h^2 g \\ h g \end{pmatrix}$$

- Numerical solution: $p^k = p_0 + (k \Delta t)v_0 + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} (\Delta t)^2 g$

$\Rightarrow$ Not exact : Error $e^k = |u^k - \tilde{u}(k \Delta t)| = \frac{k}{2} (\Delta t)^2 g$

Same error magnitude than explicit Euler



- red: True solution
- magenta: Implicit Euler
- blue: Explicit Euler

Implicit Euler - study case

18/35

1D spring


- True solution: permanent oscillations

- Numerical scheme:
$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -h \\ K/m h & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ K/m h l^0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^{k+1} = \frac{1}{1+h^2 K/m} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ -K/m h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ v \end{pmatrix}^k + \begin{pmatrix} K/m h^2 l^0 \\ K/m h l^0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Eigenvalues of $(I - Ah)^{-1}$ are $\frac{1 \pm i \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}} h}{1 + \frac{K}{m} h^2}$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{1 \pm i \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}} h}{1 + \frac{K}{m} h^2} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{K}{m} h^2}} < 1 \Rightarrow \text{always converge}$$

Even if the true solution oscillates



Stability analysis of Implicit Euler

19/35

What are the general conditions for which $u'(t) = \lambda u(t)$ converge using Implicit Euler ?

$$\begin{aligned}u^{k+1} &= u^k + h \lambda u^{k+1} \\ \Rightarrow (1 - h \lambda) u^{k+1} &= u^k \\ \Rightarrow u^{k+1} &= \frac{1}{1 - h \lambda} u^k\end{aligned}$$


$$\text{Stability condition: } \left| \frac{1}{1 - h \lambda} \right| \leq 1 \Rightarrow |1 - h \lambda| \geq 1$$

$|1/h - \lambda| \geq 1/h$: exterior of a disc centered on $(1/h, 0)$ with radius $1/h$

$\Rightarrow$ Fully enclose $\mathbb{R}_e(\lambda) \leq 0$

$\Rightarrow$ Implicit euler is unconditionally stable

Rem. May converges even when the true solution does not.



Comparison Explicit Euler / Implicit Euler

20/35

Explicit Euler

- $u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$
- $u^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} + h \mathbf{A}) u^k + h b(t^k)$
- Accuracy: 1st Order
- Stability:
 - Conditional $|1 + h\lambda| \geq 1$
 - Solution to spring model diverges

Implicit Euler

- $u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^{k+1}, t^{k+1})$
- $u^{k+1} = (\mathbf{I} - h \mathbf{A})^{-1} (u^k + h b(t^{k+1}))$
- Accuracy: 1st Order
- Stability:
 - Unconditionnaly stable
 - Solution to spring model converge to l^0

Higher order methods

Higher order method - RK

22/35

Higher accuracy can be achieved using Taylor expansion.

$$\text{ex. } u^{k+1} = u^k + h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k) + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt}(u^k, t^k)$$

- Second order accurate: **2nd order explicit Euler**
 - Higher order can achieve arbitrary accuracy.
 - In practice: computing derivatives of $\mathcal{F}$ is complex.
- ⇒ Not often used in practice

Instead: **Runge Kutta**

- Reach higher order accuracy
- Only involve the knowledge of $\mathcal{F}$, without its derivatives
- Involves several successive evaluations of $\mathcal{F}$

Runge-Kutta methods

23/35

RK2

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \frac{1}{2} (k_1 + k_2)$$

$$k_1 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

$$k_2 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k + k_1, t^k + h)$$

Midpoint method

$$k_1 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

$$k_2 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k + k_1/2, t^k + h/2)$$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + h k_2$$

RK2 and Midpoint are 2nd order accurate, still not stable for harmonic oscillator.

RK4 (Classical Runge-Kutta)

$$k_1 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

$$k_2 = h \mathcal{F}\left(u^k + \frac{k_1}{2}, t^k + \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$k_3 = h \mathcal{F}\left(u^k + \frac{k_2}{2}, t^k + \frac{h}{2}\right)$$

$$k_4 = h \mathcal{F}(u^k + k_3, t^k + h)$$

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \frac{1}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

4th order, conditionally stable

RK4 - Case study

- Rk4 conditionally stable for oscillating spring
 - Not permanent oscillations: Slight decrease of magnitude through time*
- Large improvement of accuracy compared to implicit Euler

$$\text{Stability region: } \left| 1 + h\lambda + \frac{h^2}{2}\lambda^2 + \frac{h^3}{6}\lambda^3 + \frac{1}{24}h^4\lambda^4 \right| \leq 1$$


In the case of oscillating spring: $\lambda = i\sqrt{K/m} = i\omega$

$$\text{Stable if } \left| 1 + ih\omega - \frac{h^2}{2}\omega^2 - i\frac{h^3}{6}\omega^3 + \frac{h^4}{24}\omega^4 \right| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{h^6\omega^6}{72} + \frac{h^8\omega^8}{576} \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow h^6\omega^6(h^2\omega^2 - 8)/576 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow h \leq \frac{2^{3/2}}{\omega} = \frac{2^{3/2}}{\sqrt{K/m}} \simeq \frac{2.8}{\sqrt{K/m}}$$




$h = 0.2$, error rk4 $\simeq 10^{-4}$

- red: true solution

- black: rk4

- magenta: implicit Euler



Symplectic methods / semi-implicit

Introduction to symplectic methods

26/35

Standard approaches trade-off

- Explicit methods: (+) Simple to compute, (-) limited stability
- Implicit methods: (-) Hard to compute (especially on non linear functions), (+) very stable
- Oscillatory systems are not easy to model
 - (-) Numerical solution either diverge or converge.

Symplectic approach

- *Remark:* Mechanical systems have position and velocity variables
 - Derivative of position is linear w/r velocity
 - Derivative of velocity is more complex (forces - non linear)
- ⇒ *General idea:* separate treatment of velocity and position

Semi-implicit:

- Implicit scheme for position p^{k+1} (linear part)
 - Explicit scheme for velocity v^{k+1} (non linear part)
- ⇒ In practice: use velocity v^{k+1} to evaluate p^{k+1} .

Pro

- (+) As simple as explicit method to implement
- (+) Improved stability
- (+) Well adapted to oscillatory systems

Semi implicit method

27/35

Simplest semi-implicit method: **Semi-implicit Euler** / **Verlet**

General case

$$\begin{aligned}v^{k+1} &= v^k + h \mathcal{F}_v(p^k, v^k, t^k) \\p^{k+1} &= p^k + h \mathcal{F}_p(p^k, v^{k+1}, t^k)\end{aligned}$$

Application to classical mechanical cases

$$p'(t) = v(t), m v'(t) = F(p, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} v^{k+1} = v^k + h F(p^k, t^k)/m \\ p^{k+1} = p^k + h v^{k+1} \end{cases}$$

(+) *Trivially easy to convert explicit Euler to semi-implicit Euler*

1st order accurate (like explicit/implicit Euler) in position and speed.

Expressed using positions only

$$\begin{aligned}p^{k+1} &= p^k + h(v^k + h F(p^k, t^k)) \\p^{k+1} &= p^k + h \left(\frac{p^k - p^{k-1}}{h} + h F(p^k, t^k)/m \right) \\ \Rightarrow p^{k+1} &= 2 p^k - p^{k-1} + h^2 F(p, t)/m\end{aligned}$$

Stability on oscillatory system

28/35

1D spring system: $F(p^k, v^k, t^k) = -K p^k$

$$p^{k+1} = 2 p^k - p^{k-1} - h^2 K/m p^k$$

$$\Rightarrow p^{k+1} = (2 - h^2 K/m) p^k - p^{k-1}$$

Stable and permanent oscillation when $h < \frac{2}{\sqrt{K/m}} = \frac{2}{\omega}$

Q. How can we demonstrate it ?

Note: $h < 2/\omega$ only valid for one 1D spring. Coupled 3D springs may require smaller value of h.

Use of semi-implicit

29/35

Ex. Elastic Spring: $F(p) = -K(p - L_0)$

Explicit Euler

```
for(int k=0; k<N; ++k) {  
    p = p + h*v;  
    v = v + h * F/m;  
}
```

(-) Always diverges for elastic problem

Semi-Implicit Euler

```
for(int k=0; k<N; ++k) {  
    v = v + h * F/m;  
    p = p + h*v;  
}
```

(+) Permanent oscillation for sufficiently small h .

⇒ Extremely simple change !
Big gain in stability

Higher order symplectic

30/35

2nd order Velocity Verlet

$$\begin{cases} v^{k+1/2} &= v^k + \frac{h}{2} F(p^k, v^k, t^k)/m \\ p^{k+1} &= p^k + h v^{k+1/2} \\ v^{k+1} &= v^{k+1/2} + \frac{h}{2} F(p^{k+1}, v^{k+1/2}, t^{k+1})/m \end{cases}$$

- Remains stable with permanent oscillation for $h \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{K/m}}$
- Still simple to implement


Example for 1D elastic spring

```
for(int k=0; k<N; ++k) {  
    v = v + h/2 * (-K/m*p);  
    p = p + h*v;  
    v = v + h/2 * (-K/m*p);  
}
```

Comparison between approaches

31/35

Small $h = 0.2/\omega$




True solution , *Semi-implicit Euler* , *Velocity Verlet* , *Runge-Kutta RK4*

- RK4 - best behavior (undistinguishable from true solution)


Comparison between approaches

32/35

Larger $h = 1.0/\omega$



Temporal evolution of p^k



Temporal evolution of energy $E = 1/2m (v^k)^2 + 1/2K (p^k)^2$

True solution , *Semi-implicit Euler* , *Velocity Verlet* , *Runge-Kutta RK4*

- RK4 loose energy and p^k converge toward l^0
- Symplectic integrator keep oscillating

Summary and extensions

Numerical integration of ODE

34/35

General formulation: $u'(t) = \mathcal{F}(u, t)$, $u(t) = (p(t), v(t))$.

Explicit Euler

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta t \mathcal{F}(u^k, t^k)$$

- (+) Easy to implement
- (-) Worst scheme in all cases (divergence, low accuracy)

Explicit Runge-Kutta

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta t \sum_j \alpha_j k_j$$

- (+) Good **accuracy**
- (+) Efficient to apply
- (+/-) Stability OK for non-stiff problem, diverge on stiff problem
- (-) Artificial damping for constant energy system

Implicit methods

$$u^{k+1} = u^k + \Delta t \mathcal{F}(u^{k+1}, t^{k+1})$$

- (+) Good to deal with **stiff problem** - very stable
- (-) Add numerical damping (converge even if solution oscillates)
- (-) Hard/computationally costly to apply on non linear problem

Symplectic integrator

$$v^{k+1} = v^k + \Delta t F^k / m$$

$$p^{k+1} = p^k + \Delta t v^{k+1}$$

- (+) Handle well constant energy system, preserves energy (Hamiltonian systems)
- (+) Simple and efficient to implement
- (-) Less accurate than RK
- (-) Diverge on stiff problem

Position based dynamics (PBD)

35/35

PBD - Use symplectic integrator expressed **using position only**

- Velocity is computed implicitly as $(p^{k+1} - p^k)/h$
- Handle constraints using explicit projection of positions.
 - Interesting to handle non-linear/stiff constraints

PBD algorithm

For **all** k

Integrate position (without constraints) $p[k+1] = \text{integrator}(p[k], v[k], t)$

For **all** constraints

Project $p[k+1]$ on constraints

Compute new speed $v[k+1] = (p[k+1] - p[k])/h$

(+) Unconditionnaly stable even for stiff constraints

(+) Simple to implement

(-) Low accuracy, no energy preserving

Very popular in Computer Graphics

[M. Muller et al. Position Based Dynamics. VRIPHYS 2006]

Extensions: XPBD, Projective dynamics, ...